Case3:09-cv-05020-SI Document110 Filed03/16/10 Page1 of 7

1 2	CROWELL & MORING LLP Douglas W. Sullivan (SBN 088136) dsullivan@crowell.com	
3	Joel D. Smith (SBN 244902) jsmith@crowell.com	
4	275 Battery Street, 23rd Floor San Francisco, CA 94111	
5	Telephone: (415) 986-2800 Facsimile: (415) 986-2827	
6	CROWELL & MORING LLP Scott L. Winkelman (admitted <i>pro hac vice</i>)	
7	swinkelman@crowell.com Lisa J. Savitt (admitted <i>pro hac vice</i>)	
8	lsavitt@crowell.com April N. Ross (admitted <i>pro hac vice</i>)	
9	aross@crowell.com 1001 Pennsylvania Avenue NW	
10	Washington, DC 20004 Telephone: (202) 624-2500 Facsimile: (202) 628-5116	
11	Attorneys for Defendant	
12	E.I. DU PONT DE NEMOURS AND COMPANY	
13	BOWLES & VERNA LLP Michael P. Verna (SBN 84070)	
14	mverna@bowlesverna.com 2121 N. California Boulevard, Suite 875	
15	Walnut Creek, California 94596 Telephone: (925) 935-3300	
16	Facsimile: (925) 935-09371	
17	Attorneys for Plaintiffs	
18	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
19	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
20	SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION	
21		
22	MARGITA GERGELOVA and VIKTOR	CASE NO. 09-CV-05020-SI
23	GERGEL, individually, as successors-in-interest to ARNOLD GERGEL, deceased, and as co-Personal Representatives of the Estate of ARNOLD	[Assigned to Hon. Susan Y. Illston]
24	GERGEL; ALIA FAROUKH, individually, as legal guardian for KARIM WARDE KHALIFEH	
25	and MOHAMAD ALI KHALIFEH, as successor- in-interest to HUSSEIN MOUNIR KHALIFEH,	STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED] ORDER REGARDING STAY OF
26	deceased and as Personal Representative of the Estate of HUSSEIN MOUNIR KHALIFEH;	DEADLINES AND PROCEEDINGS
2728	CAMILLE LACOME, individually, as legal guardian for ZOURI SALEMKOUR LACOME, as	
	STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED] ORDER REGARDING S	STAY OF DEADLINES AND PROCEEDINGS
ļ	CASE NO. 09-CV-05020-SI	OTT OF PERPENDENT IN TROCEPUTION

1	successor-in-interest to SAMIR SALEMKOUR,	
2	deceased and as Personal Representative of the Estate of SALEMKOUR LACOME; MATTHIEU	
3	ARRONDO, individually, as successor-in-interest to CATHERINE ARRONDO TAKVORIA,	
4	deceased, and as Personal Representative of the Estate of CATHERINE ARRONDO TAKVORIA;	
	CHANTAL KOEHLER, individually, as	
5	successor-in-interest to AUDREY QUESADA, deceased, SANA ZERELLI, deceased, and	
6	JASSIM ZERELLI, deceased, and as Personal Representative of the Estates of AUDREY	
7	QUESADA, SANA ZERELLI, AND JASSIM ZERELLI; and GUY WARRIOR, individually, as	
8	successor-in-interest to NEIL WARRIOR, deceased, and as Personal Representative of the	
9	Estate of NEIL WARRIOR,	
10	Plaintiffs,	
11	vs.	
12	AIRBUS S.A.S.; HONEYWELL	
13	INTERNATIONAL; THALES GROUP; THALES U.S.A., INC.; MOTOROLA, INC.; INTEL CO.;	
14	ROCKWELL COLLINS CO.; HAMILTON SUNDSTRAND CORP.; GENERAL ELECTRIC	
15	CO.; GE AVIATION SYSTEMS, LLC; ROSEMOUNT AEROSPACE INC; DUPONT	
16	CO.; and RAYCHEM CO.,	
17	Defendants.	
18		
	Pursuant to Civil Local Rules 6-2 and 7-12, Defendants Intel Corporation, Airbus S.A.S.,	
19	Honeywell International Inc., Thales Avionics, S.A., Thales USA, Inc., Motorola, Inc., Rockwell Collins, Inc., Hamilton Sundstrand Corporation, General Electric Co., GE Aviation Systems LLC, Rosemount Aerospace Inc, E. I. du Pont de Nemours and Company and Raychem Corporation (collectively, "Defendants") and all Plaintiffs, by and through their respective counsel of record, HEREBY STIPULATE AS FOLLOWS:	
20		
21		
22		
23		
24	TIERED I STILL CERTE INSTITUTE OF WISH	
25	1. WHEREAS Defendants have filed with the Judicial Panel on Multidistrict	
26	Litigation (the "Panel") a Joint Motion for Coordinated or Consolidated Pre-Trial Proceedings	
27	Pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1407 (the "Motion"), seeking to consolidate this case with two other	
28	actions also arising from the crash of Air France Flight 447 (the "Accident").	
	- 2 -	
	STIDI II ATION AND IDDODOSEDI ODDED DECADDING STAV OF DEADI INES AND DDOCEEDINGS	

- 2. WHEREAS the Panel has scheduled a hearing on Defendants' Motion for March 25, 2010. A true and correct copy of the Panel's hearing order is attached hereto as Exhibit A.
- 3. WHEREAS based upon this Court's orders, the Civil Local Rules, and the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, certain pretrial deadlines have been set in this case that are inconsistent with the principal purpose of multidistrict consolidation, namely to ensure consolidated and coordinated pretrial proceedings for all actions.
- 4. WHEREAS a stay pending the Panel's resolution of Defendants' Motion is supported by case law. *See*, *e.g.*, *Rivers v. Walt Disney Co.*, 980 F. Supp. 1358, 1360-61 (C.D. Cal. 1997) (holding that stay was warranted, pending resolution by the Panel of a motion to transfer and consolidate, because such a stay would conserve judicial resources and would not prejudice the parties); *Palmer v. Am. Honda Motor Co.*, No. CV 07-1904-PHX-DGC, 2008 WL 54914, at *1 (D. Ariz. Jan. 3, 2008) (same).
- 5. WHEREAS the parties seek to conserve judicial resources and minimize procedural complexity and will not suffer prejudice from a temporary stay of proceedings and good cause therefore exists to stay deadlines for disclosures pursuant to Rule 26 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure in this case until the Panel resolves Defendants' Motion.

NOW, THEREFORE, THE PARTIES HEREBY STIPULATE AS FOLLOWS:

All deadlines for disclosures pursuant to Rule 26 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure shall be stayed pending the Panel's resolution of Defendants' Motion. The entry of this stay shall not be cited to the Panel by any party. Defendants shall file and serve notice of the Panel's order within seven (7) days of entry of such order and request the Court to enter an amended scheduling order in this matter at that time.

Case3:09-cv-05020-SI Document110 Filed03/16/10 Page4 of 7

Dated: March 9, 2010	BOWLES & VERNA LLP
	By: /s/ Michael P. Verna
	Michael P. Verna
	Attorneys for Plaintiffs
Dated: March 9, 2010	PERKINS COIE LLP
	By:/s/ Philip A. Leider
	Philip A. Leider
	Attorneys for Defendant INTEL CORPORATION
	1,122 0011 0111101
Dated: March 9, 2010	HOGAN & HARTSON LLP
	By:/s/ Norman J. Blears
	•
	Attorneys for Defendant AIRBUS S.A.S
Dated: March 9, 2010	MORRISON & FOERSTER LLP
	By: /s/ Don G. Rushing
	Attorneys for Defendant HONEYWELL INTERNATIONAL INC

Case3:09-cv-05020-SI Document110 Filed03/16/10 Page5 of 7 1 2 Dated: March 9, 2010 KAPLAN, MASSAMILLO & ANDREWS LLC 3 By: /s/ Richard A. Walker 4 Attorneys for Defendant THALES GROUP AND THALES USA, INC. 5 6 7 Dated: March 9, 2010 SCHNADER HARRISON SEGAL & LEWIS LLP 8 9 By: /s/ Leo J. Murphy 10 Attorneys for Defendant MOTOROLA, INC. 11 12 13 Dated: March 9, 2010 REED SMITH LLP 14 By: /s/ Patrick E. Bradley 15 16 Attorneys for Defendant ROCKWELL COLLINS, INC. 17 18 Dated: March 9, 2010 JACKSON WALKER LLP 19 20 By: ______/s/ Robert F. Ruckman 21 Attorneys for Defendant 22 ROCKWELL COLLINS, INC. 23 Dated: March 9, 2010 **MENDES & MOUNT LLP** 24 25 By: ______/s/ Alan H. Collier 26 27 Attorneys for Defendant HAMILTON SUNDSTRAND CORPORATION 28 - 5 -

STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED] ORDER REGARDING STAY OF DEADLINES AND PROCEEDINGS

CASE NO. 09-CV-05020-SI

Case3:09-cv-05020-SI Document110 Filed03/16/10 Page6 of 7 1 Dated: March 9, 2010 PAUL, HASTINGS, JANOFSKY & WALKER 2 LLP 3 By: /s/ Christopher M. Mooney 4 5 Attorneys for Defendant GENERAL ELECTRIC COMPANY AND 6 GE AVIATION SYSTEMS LLC 7 8 Dated: March 9, 2010 **BLANK ROME LLP** 9 By: /s/ David Zeehandelaar 10 11 Attorneys for Defendant ROSEMOUNT AEROSPACE INC. 12 13 Dated: March 9, 2010 CROWELL & MORING LLP 14 15 By: /s/ Lisa J. Savitt 16 Attorneys for Defendant 17 E. I. DU PONŤ DE NEMOURS AND **COMPANY** 18 19 Dated: March 9, 2010 **MENDES & MOUNT LLP** 20 By: ______/s/ Jason L. Vincent 21 22 Attorneys for Defendant 23 RAYCHEM CORPORATION 24 Pursuant to General Order 45 Section X.B., each of the above signatories concurs in the 25 filing of this document. 26 27

- 6 -STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED] ORDER REGARDING STAY OF DEADLINES AND PROCEEDINGS

28

[PROPOSED] ORDER PURSUANT TO STIPULATION, IT IS SO ORDERED: All disclosures pursuant to Rule 26 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure in this matter shall be stayed pending the Judicial Panel on Multidistrict Litigation's resolution of Defendants' Joint Motion for Coordinated or Consolidated Pre-Trial Proceedings Pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1407. Defendants shall file and serve notice of the Panel's order within seven (7) days of entry of such order and request the Court to enter an appended scheduling order in this matter at that time. DATED: ______, 2010 Hon. Susan Y. Illston U.S. District Judge 024941\0000533\10724356.1 - 7 -